THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE RAMESH RANGANATHAN

WRIT PETITION No.13987 of 1995

-

Dated 18-03-2006

Between:

D.Surender Goud & others.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

Life Insurance Corporation of Indian, South Central Zone, Hyderabad rep. by its Zonal Manager.

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE RAMESH RANGANATHAN

WRIT PETITION No.13987 of 1995

O R D E R:

Petitioners, six in number, question the action of the respondents in terminating their services and seek a direction to continue them as sub-staff in the respondent-Corporation.

Petitioners contend that since their names were sponsored by the employment exchange, they ought to have been continued in service on a regular basis and not given appointment as sub-staff for a limited period of 85 days, more so, since permanent vacancies were available.

Respondents in their counter affidavit state that the petitioners were appointed as

temporary employees for a period of 85 days and their temporary appointments were terminated at the expiry of the said period for which they were appointed. It is stated that while requesting the employment exchange to sponsor names of the candidates, the Corporation had specifically informed that appointments would be temporary and that the call letters and the orders of temporary appointments clearly specified that the period of temporary appointment was for a period of 85 days only. Subsequent to this writ petition being filed, the respondent-Corporation issued Employment notice dated 17-07-1996 for regular appointment of sub-staff in Hyderabad, Secunderabad, kadapa, Nellore, Visakhapatnam and also South Central Zonal Office. According to the respondents, the only right which the petitioners had was to apply for and compete along with other eligible candidates. Respondents would state that if the petitioners came out successfully, they will be appointed, else they have to give way to the regularly appointed candidates. It is also stated that
pre-recruitment test was also conducted on 03-11-1996 and
20-11-1996 and the posts filled up on a regular basis.

Since the petitioners were admittedly working in temporary posts and subsequently the respondent-Corporation had filled up the vacancies on a regular basis, no relief can be granted in favour of the petitioners herein.

The writ petition fails and is accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs.

_____

16-03-2006

usd